

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,918	06/24/2003	Viktor Mikhailovskii	6823 EXAMINER	
75	90 11/16/2006			
Viktor Mikhailovskii 47 Westroyal Rd			LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
Toronto, ON M9P 2C4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/601,918	MIKHAILOVSKII ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas M. Lithgow	1724		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period fo	· ·				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ugust 2006.			
· · ·	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.			
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 9-12 is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 5-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vithdrawn from consideration.			
	on Papers	·			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive	ion No		
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive			
			MAS M. LITHGOW RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1700		
Attachment		A) Intervious Summer	-		
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/601,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of method claims 1 and 5-8 (4 being canceled by amendment) in the reply filed on 21 August 2006 is acknowledged.

 Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. This application contains claims 2-3 and 9-12 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 21 Aug 2006. A complete reply to the previous restriction must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. Applicant questioned the status of FINAL in the original restriction requirement. A review of the restriction does not indicate any mention of FINAL. Specifically, the FINAL box (2a) on the Office Action Summary (PTO-326) is not checked (indicating it is not FINAL) and no mention of FINAL is located in the rest of the Office action. The restriction action dated 19 June 2006 was non-FINAL as is this instant action.
- 4. As a courtesy to applicant, it should be noted that in future amendments, the use of double brackets to delete text of 5 characters or

Application/Control Number: 10/601,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

fewer is shown for example as [[text]] and not ((text)). Further, the text of a deleted claim should not be shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The claims 1 and 5-8 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. They appear to be replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. In claim 1, the letters i. and j. appear to be reversed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Canada 2302110. Canada '110 discloses the purification of water by employing the formation of gas bubbles in the liquid, adhering the

Application/Control Number: 10/601,918

Art Unit: 1724

contaminants in the liquid to the bubbles, and decaying of the gas bubbles (Pg. 3, last paragraph). The contaminants once concentrated may be removed (pg. 3, 2nd paragraph) or channeled toward the bank were the contaminants would accumulate at the bottom (back into the water). Further, once removed the precipitated aggregates may be allowed to concentrate at the bottom of a channel.

8. Claims 1, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either one of Grobler (US 4176058) or Smyrnow (US 3884810). Either of the above two patents disclose the addition of bubbles to a contaminated flowing water system in which the bubbles attach to the contaminants and float to the surface where the bubbles are accumulated and removed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose telephone number is 571-272-1162. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,918

Art Unit: 1724

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 Page 5

TML